

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				T	
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,760	11/	01/2001	William John Goetzinger	ROC920010201US1	2879
31647	7590	02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
DUGAN & 55 SOUTH B	•	NGUYEN, B	NGUYEN, BINH QUOC		
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2664	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			₽V				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/015,760	GOETZINGER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Binh Q. Nguyen	2664				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/10	<u>0/2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents pplication from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				

Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Application/Control Number: 10/015,760

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, and 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Claims 28-33 have the limitation "...having computer program code adapted to: ...". The term "adapted" is not clear, therefor those steps after that term will not be consider. Examiner suggests changing that term to "...having computer program code executed steps: ...".

Appropriate correction is required.

b. Claims 1, and 23-27 provide for the use of "a scheduler for a network processor..." but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. It is not clear if claims 1, and 23-27 are apparatus or a method based on the language, making the claims indefinite.

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recited; "A method of managing a scheduling queue in a scheduler for a network processor, the scheduling queue having a range R, ...

adjusting the scaling factor SF based on the result of the comparing step (Regarding to claim 9), or

incrementing a counter if the comparing step determines that D > R; and increasing SF if the incremented counter exceeds a threshold (Regarding to claim 13),

or

incrementing a counter if the comparing step determines that D < R/2; and decreasing SF if the incremented counter exceeds a threshold (Regarding to claim 15), ... "which are directed data gathering and sequence of mathematical operations without being limited to a practical application.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewrite in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. **Regarding claims 9-27**, Applicant argues the claimed scaling factor of a scheduler is representative of a tangible, real world, physical characteristic of a scheduler and, as described in detail in Applicant's specification, adjusting the scaling factor clearly has a practical application in the use of a scheduler. Since no step to show a method of managing a scheduling queue in a scheduler for a network processor, therefore examiner contends there are not practical on claims 9-27.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/015,760

Art Unit: 2664

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Binh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8563. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted/

Binh O. Nguyen Patent Examiner

01/27/2006

WELLINGTON CHIN

Page 5

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER